Land Stewardship Proposal For The Butte Creek Planning Unit

Proposed Recipient:

Bureau of Land Management, Redding Field Office

PART 1 – ORGANIZATIONAL INFORMATION

CONTACT INFORMATION

• Primary:

Ronald Rogers, Geologist BLM – Redding Field Office 355 Hemsted Drive Redding, CA 96002

Phone: 530-224-2127 Fax: 530-224-2172 rrogers@blm.gov

• Secondary:

William Kuntz, Outdoor Recreation Planner BLM - Redding Field Office 355 Hemsted Drive Redding, CA 96002

Phone: 530-224-2157 Fax: 530-224-2172 wkuntz@blm.gov

• Senior Staff:

Steven Anderson, Field Office Manager BLM - Redding Field Office 355 Hemsted Drive Redding, CA 96002

Phone: 530-224-2102 Fax: 530-224-2172 s1anders@blm.gov

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

• The Bureau of Land Management-Redding Field Office (BLM-RFO) is interested in obtaining certain PG&E lands in the Butte Creek Planning Unit because they are located within the designated boundary of BLM's Forks of Butte Creek Natural Area/Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC) and are adjoining BLM-RFO managed public lands. BLM-RFO currently manages public lands in this ACEC that have the same

multiple use resources and Beneficial Public Values (BPVs) that occur on the sought after PG&E lands. Acquiring fee simple title to these lands would enable the BLM-RFO to efficiently manage more contiguous open space for current and future generations, provide increased public recreation opportunities, improve and maintain forest and watershed health, monitor and improve wildlife and fisheries habitat, and protect and preserve cultural and historic points of interest.

The BLM-RFO has a full-time staff of over 30 resource specialists including: four outdoor recreation planners, two foresters, archaeologist, wildlife and fisheries biologist, botanist, geologist, civil engineer, and various field assistants, all of whom are well versed in land management for public use and natural resource benefits. In addition, the BLM-RFO has four realty specialists who issue rights-of-ways and commercial use authorizations; a geographic information specialist well versed in electronic spatial data collection, portrayal, and analysis; and several law enforcement officers who protect Federal lands, natural resources, and the public's safety. This staff has a long history of multiple-use public land management in Butte County with a strong emphasis on watershed and fisheries restoration, outdoor public recreation, sustained-yield forest management, and trespass abatement.

The BLM-RFO staff is very familiar with day-to-day public land management, local issues, and has strong ongoing partnerships with local, State, Native American tribes, and other Federal land management organizations. We have an existing working relationship with PG&E concerning rights of way and public recreation use. As a Federal land management agency, we have a stable budget to support our staff and a proven ability to obtain funding from various sources for public land management.

ORGANIZATIONAL INFORMATION

- BLM-RFO is a Federal entity
- Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Redding Field Office
- As a Federal entity, the BLM-RFO is tax exempt
- See attached letter of support from the BLM-California Acting State Director

RATIONAL FOR APPLYING

• The BLM-RFO is interested in obtaining the Butte Creek Planning Unit lands because the lands could continue to benefit the public and be managed for multiple-use benefits and indentified BPVs. The BLM-RFO currently manages 2,800 acres of public land within the Forks of Butte Creek Outstanding Natural Area/Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC) and adjacent to the Butte Creek Planning Unit lands. These Planning Unit lands are all within boundaries of this ACEC and are among those lands identified for potential acquisition by our Redding Resource Management Plan (1993) from willing private landowners. This land acquisition would create an area 50% larger of much more contiguous public lands and natural resources. Acquiring fee simple title to these lands

could provide the BLM an opportunity to manage contiguous open space for current and future generations; providing increased access for outdoor public recreation, forestry and watershed health, fish and wildlife habitat monitoring and improvement projects, and preservation of cultural and historic points of interest.

ORGANIZATIONAL MISSION

• It is the mission of the BLM to sustain the health, diversity and productivity of the public lands for the use and enjoyment of present and future generations. As a Federal land management agency, the BLM-RFO is committed to managing lands and natural resources for the long term and on a sustained yield basis.

The Forks of Butte Creek ACEC is a BLM-RFO management focus and land retention area that is currently managed to: protect and enhance the scenic quality of Butte Creek canyon, maintain the fisheries habitat, improve the quality of riparian vegetation to Class I, maintain semi-primitive recreation opportunities, protect the historic values of the canyon, and maintain the long-term sustained yield of forest products from the available commercial forest land outside the Butte Creek canyon. Because BLM-RFO has found Butte Creek to be eligible for National Wild and Scenic River status, BLM-RFO is obligated to manage the public lands along this waterway to maintain its free-flowing character and maintain and enhance the defined outstandingly remarkable values of cultural/historic values, physiography/geology, non-motorized recreation values, and scenic quality. We can manage the identified BPVs on the sought after PG&E lands in a similar manner to our existing public lands.

GEOGRAPHIC FOCUS

The BLM-RFO currently manages over 260,000 acres of public lands and 142,000 acres of mineral estate in five northern California counties with approximately 18,600 acres of public land in Butte County. Currently, BLM-RFO manages 2,800 acres within the Forks of Butte Creek ACEC and four of its public land parcels are adjacent to the PG&E Butte Creek Unit lands we have interest in acquiring.

The BLM-RFO has a reputation of maintaining long-term working relationships with many local, State, and Federal cooperators in this area, such as: Butte County Resource Conservation District, Chico State University, Butte County, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Cal Fire, California Fish and Game, Mechoopda Tribe, Butte Creek Conservancy, Butte Creek Trail Group, Sierra Club, Upper Ridge Wilderness Areas, Inc., several local elementary schools, and City of Paradise. The BLM also has an existing working relationship with PG&E regarding utility rights of way, public recreation use, and road access management and would provide a seamless transition for maintaining the existing facilities on these lands.

ORGANIZATIONAL EXPERIENCE AND CAPACITY

• Clear Creek Watershed Restoration, Shasta County:

In the early 1990's, the BLM-RFO, along with multiple local, state, and Federal agencies and private stakeholder groups became concerned about declining fisheries and riparian health, illegal trash dumping and trespass cases, impacts from mining, road related erosion, and decades of fire suppression occurring along lower Clear Creek, Shasta County. A multi-agency restoration team was formed to accomplish watershed restoration planning, project design and implementation, and adaptive management through monitoring and assessment of results for the purpose of improving watershed ecosystem health and anadromous fish production in lower Clear Creek. In addition, the BLM-RFO began acquiring private lands through land tenure adjustments along the riparian watershed and ultimately established a contiguous public land riparian corridor. To date, over \$7 million has been allocated for land acquisitions and over \$15 million has been spent on multiple restoration projects including: dam removal, stream realignment, gravel injections, riparian plantings, and the placement of in-stream structures. These projects and management practices have resulted in an average eight-fold increase in anadromous fisheries, a growing riparian forest habitat, increased non-motorized public usage, and decreased illegal trash dumping.

• Weaverville Community Forest Stewardship Project, Trinity County:

Since 2005, the Trinity County Resource Conservation District (TCRCD) has been working with the BLM-RFO to implement the Weaverville Community Forest Stewardship project on over 1,000 acres of public lands adjacent to the town of Weaverville, California. Three forest health timber sales have been implemented within the community forest area that have provided a variety of forest products, improved forest health, reduced fire hazard, created local jobs, and generated over \$100,000 in timber receipts that were utilized to fund additional projects within the community forest area. The successful partnership with the TCRCD has also benefited from their ability to obtain additional funding sources to leverage with the stewardship funds. These additional monies have helped to fully fund other resource management projects in the project area such as invasive weed management, hiking trail construction, road maintenance, and gate installation.

• Sacramento River-Bend Special Management Area, Shasta and Tehama Counties:

On the Sacramento River-Bend Area of Tehama and Shasta Counties, the BLM-RFO works very closely with conservation groups including The Nature Conservancy, American Land Conservancy, the Sacramento River Conservation Area Forum, and the Sacramento River Preservation Trust. A 'culture of cooperation' has been established between the BLM-RFO, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, California Department of Fish and Game, several larger adjacent landowners, and the aforementioned conservation groups to facilitate landscape-scale management along the Sacramento River watershed

and upland blue oak forest in the Bend area. These partnerships have been largely responsible for the BLM-RFO successfully competing for Land and Water Conservation funds to permit the continued acquisition of offered, undeveloped land.

• BLM-RFO's Forestry Program

BLM-RFO has managed public lands in this area since the agencies creation in 1946 and with a unified legislative mandate since 1976 by the passage of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act. The BLM-RFO currently manages approximately 260,000 acres of public land throughout Butte, Shasta, Siskiyou, Tehama, and Trinity Counties. Approximately 130,000 acres are classified as forestland and 70,000 acres are woodland.

The BLM-RFO forestry program annually completes a variety of forest resource management projects including: forest health, sustained-yield, and fire salvage timber sales, tree plantation thinning and hazard fuels reduction, tree planting, and continuous forest inventory. In Shasta County, the BLM-RFO has a current ten-year stewardship project with the Western Shasta Resource Conservation District to manage over 36,000 acres of the Chappie-Shasta OHV Area for forest resource and recreation management.

ORGANIZATIONAL FINANCES

- The BLM-RFO has a stable annual resource and fire management budget of \$2.7 million. The BLM- RFO has never received anything other than a clean budget audit review.
- The United States of America and its assigns would take fee title to the land. Any funds given to the BLM from the Stewardship Council would be used on those specifically identified projects within each parcel that the funds were requested for. In non-Community Forest Stewardship areas, project timber or lease revenue funds are deposited in the Federal Treasury.

KEY PERSONNEL/STAFF

- The BLM-RFO has a full-time staff of over thirty people. Hundreds of BLM-Northern California District and BLM-California State Office employees also support the BLM-RFO as needed with expertise such as soil, air and water specialists, law enforcement Special Agents, information technology specialists, and Cadastral Surveyors. Key BLM-RFO personnel that would perform Butte Creek Planning Unit land management include:
- Steven Anderson, Field Office Manager
- Francis Berg, Resource Management Specialist
- Bill Kuntz, Outdoor Recreation Planner
- Walter Herzog, Forester
- Brennan Garrelts, Forester

- Gary Diridoni, Wildlife and Fisheries Biologist
- Dr. Eric Ritter, Archaeologist
- Chase Lentz, Botanist
- Tim Bradley, Fire Management Officer
- John Ribinsky, Civil Engineering Technician
- Susie Rodriguez, Realty Specialist
- Andy Suppiger, GIS Specialist
- Kenyon Riley, Law Enforcement Officer
- Ronald Rogers, Geologist, PG&E Land Stewardship Lead

COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AND COLLABORATION

• The BLM-RFO has extensive community engagement and collaboration experience in communities throughout the Field Office Area. Primary examples of this experience have been described in the Organizational Experience and Capacity section above. These include: Clear Creek Watershed Restoration, the Weaverville Community Forest Stewardship Project, the Sacramento River-Bend Area Special Management Area, and the forest resource and recreation management in the Chappie-Shasta OHV Area.

The BLM-RFO has a reputation of maintaining long-term working relationships with many local, State, and Federal cooperators and stakeholders in Butte Creek Planning Unit area. These include: Butte County Resource Conservation District, Chico State University, Butte County, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Cal Fire, California Fish and Game, Mechoopda Tribe, Butte Creek Conservancy, Butte Creek Trail Group, Sierra Club, Upper Ridge Wilderness Areas, Inc., several local elementary schools, and City of Paradise. The BLM also has an existing working relationship with PG&E regarding utility rights of way, public recreation use, and road access management and would provide a seamless transition for maintaining the existing facilities on these lands.

In 2010 the BLM-RFO benefited from over 111,000 hours of volunteer, hosted, or donated work-hours received from our many agency, institutional, and community-based collaborators.

• The BLM-RFO also has a legal obligation under the National Environmental Policy Act to include and collaborate with the public during the development of any land management plan through news announcements, legal notices, public meetings, and the solicitation and consideration of public input and opinion.

LEGAL COMPLIANCE AND BEST PRACTICE

• The BLM signed a Record of Decision for the Redding Field Office Resource Management Plan (RMP) in July, 1993 which is in accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (FLPMA) and the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969. The BLM-RFO also operates under "Best Management Practices"

- described throughout the Code of Federal Regulations, Department of Interior Policies, and BLM Instruction Memorandums.
- The BLM-RFO is a component of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, Federal Government of the United States of America.
- The BLM-RFO has not violated any laws in the last five years.
- The BLM-RFO is not precluded from accepting fee title lands containing conservation easements.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE

• Karla Norris, Assistant Deputy State Director in the BLM-California State Office is a non-voting member of the Stewardship Council's Board.

PART 2 – LAND STEWARDSHIP INFORMATION

LAND INTERESTS SOUGHT

• The BLM-RFO is interested in the following 9 parcels (or portions thereof) in the Butte Creek Planning Unit consisting of approximately 1,403 acres that are available for fee title donation:

Parcel #s: 706, 707, 708, 709, 710, 711, 712, 713, 724.

• BLM will accept fee title to "constrained" parcels, or portions thereof, when the lands can be managed by BLM-RFO effectively and efficiently and with the preservation and enhancement of any BPVs. Small, isolated residual portions of split parcels, not attached to other parcels transferred to BLM-RFO, generally do not fit these conditions for acceptance. Legal access for BLM-RFO land management, and probably also for the public's use, is necessary for each parcel and may require the granting of access easements to the BLM across PG&E-retained land. There is a potential for BLM Cadastral Surveyors to assist in the boundary surveys and parcel splits, via a cost share agreement with the Stewardship Council, should the Council deem the parcel splits necessary and Cadastral Survey deems the surveys necessary for BLM acceptance of title.

- In accordance with the BLM-RFO's Resource Management Plan (1993), the acquisition of fee estate on these parcels of land provides the opportunity for BLM to continue protecting threatened natural and cultural resource values, critical habitat and ecosystems, historic and cultural sites; provide sustainable, forest-based commercial products to the economy; and fulfill the public's need for outdoor recreation and open space. Land acquisitions support BLM strategic goals by providing opportunities for environmentally responsible recreation and preservation of our natural and cultural heritage. BLM can also more effectively manage natural and cultural resources by consolidating land ownership patterns into larger blocks of land.
- BLM's internal process for approving and completing the acquisition of fee title to these lands is authorized by Section 205 of FLPMA and is as follows:

PROCESSING STEPS FOR ACQUISION

INSPECTIONS, NEPA COMPLIANCE, PLANNING

- Conduct initial inspection of property.
- Complete Certificate of Inspection and Possession.
- Conduct environmental site assessment.
- Analyze on-site resources.
 - Prepare NEPA document and Record of Decision
 - Plan for future management based on inventory.

DOCUMENT PREPARATION

- Obtain title evidence and evaluate title encumbrances.
- Examine adequacy of the legal description for lands to be acquired.
- Obtain estimate of value of lands to be obtained.
- Prepare acquisition documents, deed and vicinity map

TITLE TRANSFER/CLOSING

- For closing in escrow, prepare escrow and closing instructions.
- Obtain preliminary title opinion.
- Execute documents.
- Provide estimate of value to title company.
- Deposit documents in escrow.
- Complete Certificate of Inspection and Possession just prior to closing and recording deed.
- Record deed if not closed in escrow.
- Obtain final title evidence on U.S. ALTA policy form.
- Obtain final title opinion.

POST ACQUISITION ACTIONS

• Request notation of the action to the official land status records.

BASELINE AND ENHANCED LAND MANAGEMENT

 Baseline management of the parcels would include regular patrolling of the property by law enforcement, and monitoring the resource condition by other BLM-RFO personnel. The BLM-RFO recognizes the desire for continued public access to these lands for fishing, hunting, hiking, river boating, informal camping areas, and other recreational purposes and would develop and maintain maps, signs, and appropriate public use facilities to assist with this dispersed public recreation.

Enhanced management would be developed through the public planning process in accordance with the BLM-RFO Resource Management Plan, future amendments and revisions, and the National Environmental Policy Act typically using activity-level planning and environmental assessments. Existing vehicle and non-motorized routes on the parcels would be inventoried and identified for retention, improvement, restriction, or closure depending on the effects on the various resource values and BPVs. Opportunities for increased access routes into the canyon will be explored. Forest lands would be inventoried and managed accordingly to promote forest and woodland health along with sustained-yield forest products in the uplands. Noxious weed infestations would be surveyed and management plans formulated. The fire management plan for the adjoining public lands would be amended to include the acquired lands. The other resource inventories would occur at the time of planning document preparation and in support of specific project proposals.

Identified trespasses on these lands would be added to BLM-RFO's trespass inventory and prioritized for resolution dependent on the severity of their impacts on the BPVs.

These lands would benefit from the California Cooperative Wildland Fire Management and Stafford Act Response Agreement that provides for State-Federal multiagency coordination and improved efficiency in addressing wildland fire and other natural and manmade hazards.

PHYSICAL ENHANCEMENTS/CAPITOL IMPROVEMENTS

• Initial capital improvements on these lands would be limited to maintaining existing PG&E improvements such as signs, gates, trails, culverts, and roads where they are beneficial for the BPVs and public land management. In addition, BLM would sign the parcel boundaries at public access routes and physically block certain informal motorized vehicle access routes that have been identified as facilitating illegal activities, trespass, or causing unacceptable damage to natural resources or BPVs.

• Future enhancements and improvements would be identified in future resource management planning and in accordance with the parcel-specific conservation easements. Future enhancements would likely include: forestry health improvements such as inventory, planting, brush removal, thinning, and harvest; fuels reduction projects; improved and new parking areas, roads, trails, boating and fishing access, information kiosks, picnic and day-use areas. All enhancements for public use would be in compliance with the guidelines set forth by the Americans with Disabilities Act.

LAND CONSERVATION PARTNERS AND YOUTH OPPORTUNITIES

- Potential partners include:
 - Butte County Resource Conservation District
 - Butte Creek Conservancy
 - Paradise Parks and Recreation
 - PG&E

PUBLIC INPUT

 The public input and stewardship council recommendations for management of the Butte Creek Planning Units are very similar to the resource condition objectives identified in the Redding Resource Management Plan for the Forks of Butte Creek ACEC and currently carried out by BLM-RFO.

If these parcels were to be acquired by the BLM, the BLM-RFO would seek public input on project-specific actions in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act. The BLM-RFO is scheduled to revise the Redding Resource Management Plan within three years and public input will be an essential component of the process.

BUDGET AND FUNDING PLAN

 The BLM-RFO would absorb the costs for baseline management of the parcels but would consider various land management partnerships such as stewardship contracting to both assist local economy and promote community support for resource management on the Butte Creek lands. Stewardship Council funding is requested for proposed access acquisition and construction projects and for assistance in needed boundary surveys and parcel splits.

PART 3 – SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

Attachment – Letter of Support from BLM-California Acting State Director	



United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

California State Office 2800 Cottage Way, Suite W-1834 Sacramento, CA 95825 www.ca.blm.gov



2100 (CA-910) P

July 29, 2010

Stewardship Council Attn: Ric Notini Director, Land Conservation Program 15 N. Ellsworth Avenue, St. 100 San Mateo, CA 94401

Dear Mr. Notini:

It is with pleasure that we submit this letter of support for the Alturas Field Office's participation in the Stewardship Council's land conservation process. We are fully aware of the ongoing discussions and coordination between the Field Office and the Stewardship Council staff.

PG&E property associated with the Pit 1 and 3 and the Hat 1 and 2 power projects have long been of interest to the BLM. These properties were identified as a priority for acquisition in the 2008 Alturas Resource Management Plan. Therefore, The California State Office approves the Alturas Field Office's submittal of Land Stewardship Proposals for the following Planning Units:

Fall River Valley Fall River Mills Lake Britton Hat Creek

Thanks for the opportunity to partner with you in this important conservation effort.

James Wesley Abbott Acting State Director



Pacific Forest and Watershed Lands Stewardship Council Land Stewardship Proposal Budget and Funding Plan Butte Creek Planning Unit

PROJECT BUDGET - TRANSACTION COSTS

A. Document Preparation Costs

Task	Unit of Measure	Number of Units	Cost/Unit	Total Cost	Funding Request	Comments					
Pre-Title Work	Report	1	800	800							
Title Report	Report	1	5,000	5,000							
Hazardous Materials Report	Report	1	15,000	15,000							
Input Activity				-							
Input Activity				-							
To add a row: right click on the row above -> select copy -> right click again ->select insert copied cell.											
A. Total Document Preparation Costs				20.800	-						

B. Closing Costs

	Task	Unit of Measure	Number of Units	Cost/Unit	Total Cost	Funding Request	Comments
	Title Insurance	Transaction	1	5,000	5,000		
	Escrow	Transaction	1	2,000	2,000		
	Recordation	Transaction	1	300	300		
	Input Activity				-		
	Input Activity				-		
	To add a row: right click on the row above -> sele	ect copy -> right	t click again	->select inse	rt copied cell.		
,	B. Total Closing Costs				7,300	-	_

C. Other

Task	Unit of	Number	Cost/Unit	Total Cost	Funding	Comments
	Measure	of Units			Request	
Input Activity				-		
Input Activity				-		
Input Activity				-		
Input Activity				-		
Input Activity				-		
To add a row: right click on the row above -> sele	ect copy -> righ	t click agair	n ->select inse	rt copied cell.		
C. Total Other				-	-	
TOTAL TRANSACTION COSTS		•		28,100	-	

Additional Notes:



Butte Creek Planning Unit

PROJECT BUDGET - BASELINE LAND OWNERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES (SEE NOTE 1

PROJECT REVENUE	S									
						rces of Funding				
Source	Description				One-Time	Ongoing			Comments	
Committed Funds (ca	ash received or award made)				Grants	Funding				
Grant Awards	aon received or award made,									
Fundraising										
Matching Funds										
Lease Revenues										
Timber Revenues										
General Fund/Reserve	es									
Other (Describe)										
Total Committed Fun	nds				-	-				
Pending Funds (no a	ward made to date)									
Grant Awards	,									
Fundraising										
Matching Funds										
General Fund/Reserve	es									
OII (D II)										
Other (Describe)										
Total Pending or Pote	ential Funds				-	-				
Total Pending or Pote						-				
Total Pending or Pote To add a row: right clic	ck on the row above -> select co	py -> right cl	ick again ->sel	ect insert copied o	cells					
Total Pending or Pote	ck on the row above -> select co	py -> right cl	ick again ->sel	ect insert copied (-				
Total Pending or Pote To add a row: right clic	ck on the row above -> select co EVENUES	py -> right cl	lick again ->sel	ect insert copied o	cells					
Total Pending or Pote To add a row right clic TOTAL PROJECT RE PROJECT EXPENSES	ck on the row above -> select co EVENUES S	py -> right cl	ick again ->sel	ect insert copied (cells					_
Total Pending or Pote To add a row: right clic TOTAL PROJECT RE	ck on the row above -> select co EVENUES S	py -> right cl	ick again ->sel	ect insert copied (cells		SC Fundi	ing Request		
Total Pending or Pote To add a row right clic TOTAL PROJECT RE PROJECT EXPENSES	ck on the row above -> select co EVENUES S	py -> right cl	ick again ->sel		cells		SC Fundi One-Time	ing Request Ongoing	Comments	
Total Pending or Pote To add a row: right clic TOTAL PROJECT RE PROJECT EXPENSES A. Baseline Managem	ck on the row above -> select co EVENUES S ment Activities				cells -	·			Comments	
Total Pending or Pote To add a row: right clic TOTAL PROJECT RE PROJECT EXPENSES A. Baseline Managem	ck on the row above -> select co EVENUES S ment Activities	Unit of	Number of		cells -	- Ongoing	One-Time	Ongoing	Comments	
Total Pending or Pote To add a row: right clic TOTAL PROJECT RE PROJECT EXPENSES A. Baseline Managem Task	ck on the row above -> select co EVENUES S ment Activities	Unit of	Number of		cells -	- Ongoing	One-Time	Ongoing	Comments	
Total Pending or Pote To add a row: right clic TOTAL PROJECT RE PROJECT EXPENSES A. Baseline Managem Task Input Activity	ck on the row above -> select co EVENUES S ment Activities	Unit of	Number of		cells -	- Ongoing	One-Time	Ongoing	Comments	
Total Pending or Pote To add a row: right clic TOTAL PROJECT RE PROJECT EXPENSES A. Baseline Managem Task Input Activity Input Activity	ck on the row above -> select co EVENUES S ment Activities	Unit of	Number of		cells -	- Ongoing	One-Time	Ongoing	Comments	
Total Pending or Pote To add a row: right clic TOTAL PROJECT RE PROJECT EXPENSES A. Baseline Managem Task Input Activity Input Activity Input Activity Input Activity	ck on the row above -> select co EVENUES S ment Activities	Unit of	Number of		cells -	- Ongoing	One-Time	Ongoing	Comments	
Total Pending or Pote To add a row: right clic TOTAL PROJECT RE PROJECT EXPENSES A. Baseline Managem Task Input Activity Input Activity Input Activity Input Activity Input Activity Input Activity	ck on the row above -> select co EVENUES S ment Activities	Unit of	Number of		cells -	- Ongoing	One-Time	Ongoing	Comments	
Total Pending or Pote To add a row: right clic TOTAL PROJECT RE PROJECT EXPENSES A. Baseline Managem Task Input Activity	ck on the row above -> select co EVENUES S ment Activities	Unit of	Number of		cells -	- Ongoing	One-Time	Ongoing	Comments	
Total Pending or Pote To add a row: right clic TOTAL PROJECT RE PROJECT EXPENSES A. Baseline Managem Task Input Activity	ck on the row above -> select co EVENUES S ment Activities	Unit of	Number of		cells -	- Ongoing	One-Time	Ongoing	Comments	
Total Pending or Pote To add a row: right clic TOTAL PROJECT RE PROJECT EXPENSES A. Baseline Managem Task Input Activity	ck on the row above -> select co EVENUES S ment Activities	Unit of	Number of		cells -	- Ongoing	One-Time	Ongoing	Comments	
Total Pending or Pote To add a row: right clic TOTAL PROJECT RE PROJECT EXPENSES A. Baseline Managem Task Input Activity	ck on the row above -> select co	Unit of Measure	Number of Units	Cost/Unit	One-Time Costs	- Ongoing	One-Time	Ongoing	Comments	

B. Baseline Land Ownership Costs

SC Funding Request



Butte Creek Planning Unit

Task	Description	Unit of Measure	Number of Units	Cost/Unit	One-Time Costs	Ongoing Annual Costs	One-Time Costs	Ongoing Annual Costs	Comments
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
	click on the row above ->	select copy -> right cli	ck again ->sel	ect insert copi	ied cells				
B. Total Baseline	Land Ownership Costs				-	-	-	-	
C. Other							در دسم	ing Request	
Task	Description	Unit of	Number of	Cost/Unit	One-Time	Ongoing	One-Time	Ongoing	Comments
iask	Description	Measure	Units	COST/ OTHE	Costs	Annual Costs	Costs	Annual Costs	Comments
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
	click on the row above ->	select copy -> right cli	ck again ->sel	ect insert copi					
C. Total Other					-	-	-	-	
TOTAL PROJECT	EXPENSES				-		-		
NET REVENUE/(E	XPENSE) - BASELINE LA	AND OWNERSHIP A	ND MANAGE	MENT ACTIV	ITIES -	-	-	-	
Additional Notes:									
The baseline costs	will be absorbed into the	annual operating budg	et for the Red	dding Field Of	fice.				

Note 1: According to the Stewardship Council's Land Conservation Program Funding Policy, the Stewardship Council will require future land owners to demonstrate that they have the funding and other capacity to maintain that property interest so as to preserve and/or enhance the beneficial values on the Watershed Lands. Exceptions to this guideline will be rare and considered only in circumstances where,

1) The funding of baseline land ownership and management activities will clearly lead to enhancement of the beneficial public values on the lands; and/or,

2) The funding of baseline land ownership and management activities is only temporary (≤5 years).



Butte Creek Planning Unit

PROJECT BUDGET - ENHANCEMENTS TO BENEFICIAL PUBLIC VALUES

PROJECT REVENUE	ES				
			Non- SC Sources	of Funding	
Source	Description		One-Time	Ongoing	Comments
			Grants	Funding	
Committed Funds (c	cash received or award made)				
Grant Awards					
Fundraising					
Matching Funds					
Lease Revenues					
Timber Revenues					
General Fund/Reserv	res				
Other (Describe)					
Total Committed Fu	nds		-	-	
Pending Funds (no	award made to date)				
Grant Awards					
Fundraising					
Matching Funds					
General Fund/Reserv	res				
Other (Describe)					
Total Pending or Po	tential Funds		-	-	
To add a row: right co	lick on the row above -> select copy -> right cl	ck again ->select insert copied cells			
TOTAL PROJECT R	EVENUES	•	-	-	

PROJECT EXPENSES

A. Enhanced Land Management Activities

							SC Fundi	ng Request	
Task	Description	Unit of	Number of	Cost/Unit	One-Time	Ongoing	One-Time	Ongoing	Comments
		Measure	Units		Costs	Annual Costs	Costs	Annual Costs	
	Planning documents and inventories wit	h							
Management Plan	recreation and fisheries focus	Plan	1	20,000	20,000				Activity level inventory and planning.
Access to Butte Cr.	Easements, trails and parking areas	Routes	3	30,000	90,000		90,000		
OHV Route Closures	Gates, boulders, excavations, ripping	Routes	3	3,000	9,000				
Parcel Splits	Boundary surveys and lot splits	Parcels	?	?	?		?		
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
To add a row: right click	k on the row above -> select copy -> right	click again ->s	elect insert co	pied cells					
A. Total Enhanced Lan	nd Management Activities				119,000	-	90,000	-	



Butte Creek Planning Unit

B. Capital Improvements

Task	Description	Unit of Measure	Number of Units	Cost/Unit	One-Time Costs	Ongoing Annual Costs	SC Fundi One-Time Costs	ng Request Ongoing Annual Costs	Comments
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
To add a row: right clic	k on the row above -> select copy -> right cl	ick again ->s	elect insert co	pied cells					
B. Total Capital Impro	vements				-	-	-	-	

C. Other Task	Description	Unit of N Measure	umber of Units	Cost/Unit	One-Time Costs	Ongoing Annual Costs	SC Fundi One-Time Costs	ng Request Ongoing Annual Costs	Comments
Input Activity Input Activity	click on the row above -> sel	ect copy -> right click again ->sele	ct insert con	nied cells					
C. Total Other	EIICK OIT LIIC TOW UDOVE -> SER	ect copy -> right chek ugum -> sele	et msert cop	neu cens	-		-		
TOTAL PROJECT	EXPENSES				119,000	-	90,000	-	

(119,000)

90,000

Additional Notes:

Other project and related resource inventory work will be absorbed into the annual operation budget for the Redding Field Office

NET REVENUE/(EXPENSE) - ENHANCEMENT OF BENEFICIAL PUBLIC VALUES